MR. KARNICK KRISHNAMURTHY RAO (MAGADI TALUK).—

Q.—**9.** With reference to the reply of Government to part of Interpellation No. I of the Dasara Session of 1924, will the Government be pleased to furnish additional information on the following points:—

(*a*) How many qualified persons under the head "Non-Brahmins" applied for appointments? How many of them were appointed? How many persons were given exemptions from possessing the qualification?

(*b*) What was the number of Brahmin candidates applying for posts and the number appointed?

(*c*) What is the reason for excluding Europeans and Anglo-Indians in Appendix II?

(*d*) Were there no Europeans and Anglo-Indians in the Government service before the introduction of the Miller Committee Report? What is the total number of persons belonging to these communities who are in the Gazetted and the non-gazetted ranks?

(*e*) If the answer to part of Interpellation No. I which was not answered at the Dasara Session 1924 is ready, will the Government kindly place it on the Table?

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—(*a*) Since June 1921 to 15th April 1925, 8,062 applicants of the Backward Communities applied for the several vacancies advertised. Of these applicants, 1,213 were appointed. Only 3 persons were given exemption from possessing the required qualifications.

(*b*) During the same period, the number of Brahmin applicants was 16,269. Of these, 929 were appointed.

(*c*) Reference is invited to the reply given to the supplemantary question by the member to Interpellation No. I at the Dasara Session of 1924.

(*d*) According to the statement appended to the Miller Committee Report, the number of Europeans and Anglo-Indians in the service of Government in the year 1918 was 156. Information is not available as regards the present number, as the composition statement received quarterly by the Recruitment Board provides no separate heading for this community.

(*e*) A statement showing the total number of each community comprising the non-gazetted ranks is placed on the Table.

Supplementary Questions.

Mr. Karnick Krishnamurthy Rao.—

In regard to question (d) will the Government obtain information regarding the number of each community comprising the Gazetted ranks?

Dewan.—

The matter will be referred to the Central Recruitment Board.

MR. B. K. RAMAKRISHNIAH (MYSORE CITY MUNICIPALITY).—

Q.—**10.** Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(i) Whether in pursuance of directions given by Government to the Traffic Manager in their review of the Administration Report of the Railway Department for 1921-22 for effecting economy, the supply of uniforms to the Traffic Staff during 1923-24 was stopped in order to effect savings?

(ii) What was the amount saved thereby?

(iii) What was the amount spent during the same year in fitting electric lights and fans to the Traffic Manager's inspection carriage and supplying crockery and mosquito curtains to his carriage and to the Loco Superintendent's inspection carriage.

(iv) Whether it was not the present Traffic Manager who sanctioned this expenditure when he was officiating as Agent, Mysore Railways?

2. (i) Whether it is a fact that the office of the Assistant Traffic Superintendent, Bangalore, which was located in Government Building was shifted to a private building on a rent of Rs. 100 per month?

(ii) Whether it is the fact that the Traffic Manager and the Loco Superintendent put up in the new office of the Assistant Traffic Superintendent when they go to Bangalore and whether the Loco Superintendent stables his car there permanently?

(iii) Whether these officers are not provided with inspection carriage which are meant for the officers to live in out stations?